

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,422	12/07/2000	Hideaki Takechi	MTS-3221US	8670
7590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER		
Ratner & Prestia			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
One Westlakes 1	Berwyn Suite 301			
PO Box 980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			2131	
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/673,422	TAKECHI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher A. Revak	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 March 2006.						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
ے,د	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7,9-13 and 15-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,11-13 and 15-21</u> is/are allowed.						
· · · —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
-	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/673,422 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the teachings of Miyazaki fail to disclose "information concerning the application software is information indicating a type of tamper resistance verification function". The examiner respectfully disagrees, the teachings of Miyazaki disclose of using a digital signature which is indicative of the a type of tamper resistance function performed on the digital content. Miyazaki additionally discloses of the use of a digital watermark which is another known form of detecting tampering of digital content, see column 38-64, column 10, lines 54-61, and column 11, lines 16-20.
- 2. The examiner hereby withdraws the rejection of claim 10 under 35 USC 101 for claiming non-statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2131

4. Claims 7,9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miyazaki et al, U.S. Patent 6,912,512.

As per claim 7, Miyazaki et al teaches of a computer that comprises a system section and an application software section, and which takes in copyright information, encrypted data via a digital interface for processing therein. The system section sends the data to the application section by embedding distinguishing information of the application software residing in the application software section. The information concerning the application software is information indicating a type of tamper resistance verification function (col. 8, lines 38-64; col. 10, lines 54-61; and col. 11, lines 16-20).

As per claim 9, it is taught by Miyazaki et al of a medium holding a program and data for enabling a computer to implement taking in copyright information, encrypted data via a digital interface for processing therein. The system section sends the data to the application section by embedding distinguishing information of the application software residing in the application software section (col. 5, lines 24-40 and col. 8, lines 43-64).

As per claim 10, Miyazaki et al discloses of a collection of information wherein the collection of information is a program and data for enabling a computer to implement taking in copyright information, encrypted data via a digital interface for processing therein. The system section sends the data to the application section by embedding distinguishing information of the application software residing in the application software section (col. 5, lines 24-40 and col. 8, lines 43-64).

Application/Control Number: 09/673,422 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6,11-13, and 15-21 are allowed.

#### **Conclusion**

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHRISTOPHER REVAK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
79 06

May 29, 2006